# 'Ecological emergencies' and resource and environmental management

he purpose of this and the other articles is to discuss what constitutes an 'ecological emergency', and to consider some of the key linkages between environmental/ecological management and emergency management in Australia. To do this, the article provides some ecological and environmental management context as background to the discussion. We argue that both fields have much to offer each other in terms of insight to improve the quality of planning and management. Moreover, emergency management agencies have a vital role to play in regard to the management of ecological emergencies in Australia. The connections between the two fields need to be identified and developed to maximise opportunities for improved management.

Environmental change, and many emergencies and hazards, have at least some of their roots in basic features of the Australian environment, such as droughts, flooding rains and wildfires. Climatic variability, especially in precipitation, is one enormously important and well-recognised feature. Of ecological importance are generally nutrient poor soils, and long periods of evolutionary isolation (van Oosterzee 1995). These and other factors have resulted in a rather unique suite of ecosystems and species, with patterns of frequency and abundance of plants and animals, and linkages to the non-living environment, that are peculiarly Australian. Australia is the only rich country considered 'mega-diverse' in biological diversity (biodiversity), and a rare case where one political jurisdiction covers and entire continent (Common and Norton 1992, Dovers and Williams, in press). Yet rates of loss and degradation of species and ecosystems have been high since European settlement, due to habitat alteration (esp. land clearance and land use change), predation and competitive interference by introduced weeds and pests, and competition with and displacement by domestic stock (SEAC 1996). Some native species have increased due to human-induced changes, but the bulk

by Stephen Dovers, Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies, The Australian National University; and Tony Norton, Department of Land Information, RMIT University

have diminished—especially those of limited or specialised distribution or those located in parts of the landscape also attractive to human use. Of significant concern is the impact of humans on important ecological processes—the foundations of natural systems. These ecological processes include nutrient cycles, climate processes, hydrological cycles and pollination. This concern shifts attention from traditional 'nature conservation' and single threatened species concerns, to a more fundamental level of system health.

### Resource and environmental policy and management in Australia

Australia's modern history of environmental management began soon after European occupation, with regulations dealing with water quality and timber harvesting prior to 1800.<sup>1</sup> Through the 19th century, policy and management activity dealing with issues such as water and forests, and a little with urban environmental quality, was evident. More elaborate systems of policy, management and regulation were not put in place until this century. Frawley (1994) characterises the trend as from 'exploitative pioneering', through 'wise use' of natural resources for national development, to 'environmentalism'. Currently, all three are still recognisable. In the past few decades, we have moved from a concentration on fairly simple nature conservation and end-ofpipe pollution control, to an attempt at much more integrated approaches. This began in earnest with the 1983 National Conservation Strategy, but was more fully discussed and developed during the 1990s under the title 'Ecologically Sustainable Development' or ESD. More recent approaches have some core features, including: • the linking of ecological, social and

economic dimensions of problems, and the (proposed) treatment of these in an integrated fashion (i.e. environmental issues cannot be treated as a discrete, separate policy and management area). Especially important has been the close linking of environment and development;

- recognition of the need to address deeper causes and wider contexts (e.g. reducing waste streams and/or resource use rather than just cleaning up afterwards; protecting biological diversity across entire landscapes, not just in reserves; or managing land and water issues across whole catchments in an integrated way);
- recognition of global dimensions and linkages with many issues, such as biodiversity or climate change;
- increased importance attached to nonmarket values of environmental resources, such as aesthetic and cultural, but especially of 'ecosystem services' like clean water, genetic diversity, nutrient cycles, soil protection or climate amelioration;
- the search for new policy and management approaches to supplement traditional regulatory and educational approaches.

Some basic principles of ESD, including the need for environmental-socialeconomic integration, the precautionary principle and community participation, have not only been avowed in policy, but have been expressed or referred to as statutory objects and guiding principles in some seventy Australian laws.<sup>2</sup> In recent years in Australia, there has been a major period of development of national (including the Commonwealth,

2. The precautionary principle is relevant here, stating that lack of scientific certainty should not be used as an excuse to postpone environmental protection measures, suggesting more proactive or preventative approaches.

<sup>1.</sup> Before this, of course, indigenous Australians managed the environment, especially through the use of fire, and indigenous knowledge and tradition is being increasingly recognised and drawn upon in resource and environmental management.

states/territories and sometimes local government) policies and approaches, generally formulated with the involvement of stakeholders, including:

- National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development;
- National Strategy for the Conservation of Australia's Biological Diversity;
- National Forest Policy Statement;
- National Greenhouse Response Strategy;
- National Rangelands Strategy;
- National Waste Minimisation Strategy;
- Oceans Policy;
- National Decade of Landcare plan; and
- Commonwealth Wetlands Policy.

These are supported by literally hundreds of subsidiary policy programs, such as the many funded through the Natural Heritage Trust, and the states and territories have a complex raft of policies and laws as well. Australian policies match major international policies and conventions, including Agenda 21, the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Convention on Desertification. In terms of substantive environmental issues that are topical at present, the following comprise the great bulk of the current policy agenda:

- greenhouse and climate change (international coordination of responses, impacts, and policy options especially concerning energy use and land clearance);
- conservation of biodiversity, both on and off-reserves, with emphasis on land clearing, the impact of introduced species, degradation of inland streams, and the possible implications of climate change;
- land degradation, including soil erosion, acidification and salinisation;
- resource conflicts in forests, between extractive, conservation and other values;
- water allocation conflicts, between extractive, environmental and other uses;
- use and management of the marine realm and the coastal zone;
- improving industrial 'metabolism', through more efficient production processes and waste management and minimisation;
- urban environmental protection, especially regarding city air quality.

Some major policy trends have been evident in recent years in the resource and environmental field. These have influenced policy and management styles and the sorts of policy instruments used across all these issues, and include:

• 'marketisation', being both the reduction

of state involvement through measures such as outsourcing and corporatisation, and the application of market-based policy instruments (often more in theory than practice—Eckersley 1995; Dovers & Gullett 1999);

- a move away from regulation, towards volunteerism, self-regulation, codes of practice and agreements;
- a large move towards community participation and involvement, by rural, urban, remote and indigenous communities, in environmental management and monitoring (the more inclusive mode of national policy development of the 1980s-early 90s, however, has diminished in the past few years). This community dimension is most well known through Landcare, but there are many other programs;
- increasing use of risk assessment and management approaches (but certainly little agreement as to their usefulness), and more attention to policy and decision making in the face of uncertainty;
- a strong regional focus in planning, policy implementation and program delivery;
- much greater use of sophisticated computer-based models and decision support systems to underpin policy and management;
- an emerging interest in the longer term institutional and informational underpinnings of 'adaptive, learning' policy processes and management regimes (but little evidence of their creation so far) (Dovers and Mobbs 1997).

In the final part of this paper, it is suggested that these trends closely match recent developments in emergency management.

#### **Defining ecological emergencies**

The term 'environmental emergencies' (and environmental risk) is generally taken to refer primarily to pollution episodes (e.g. chemical or oil spills, fires at factories) and mostly to situations where *humans* or their property are the primary concerns. Most discussion of 'ecological emergencies' relates to international environmental law and policy, referring to situations where countries have some responsibility to inform each other of major spills or incidents. For the purposes of this article we propose that:

<sup>è</sup>ecological emergencies' are suddenonset events where the subject is nonhuman, such as biological diversity, an ecosystem, a species, or a river system. In an ecological emergency,

#### humans or human property may also be threatened, but the threat may be only to non-human entities.

This is not to underplay the importance of threats to humans, but to shift the focus so as to better consider what sharp events mean for natural systems, and whether and how we should be concerned about that. The definition may be centred on the system threatened, or the source or kind of threat. Oil spills in ecologically valuable areas remote from human populations are a well established example, as are water pollution events (e.g. fish kills from chemical accidents, or from exposed acid sulphate soils). Remnant vegetation and habitats (in urban or rural areas) are open to threats, such as fire or pollution episodes. Rare species are another vulnerable part of the environment, and are susceptible to, for example, an outbreak of disease or of introduced plants or animals. Inland streams and coastal estuaries are particularly vulnerable also.

A key point is that ecological emergencies are sharp manifestions resulting from underlying processes and phenomena. One part of the underlying condition will always be the variable nature of the Australian environment, and this is important to recognise, but more important from a management and policy perspective will be the additional impacts on natural systems, above the background variability, resulting from human actions and institutions.

#### Connections

There appears to be a number of levels of connection between environmental and emergency management, and thus bases for closer engagement between the two fields of policy and practice. We propose three categories (the following is explored further in Dovers 1998a, 1998b)

#### Substantive interactions

The most obvious connection between the two fields of policy and practice is 'at the coalface', or rather at the fire front, the chemical spill, the flood, etc.

Bushfire is probably the most well known case (and one not without conflict between environmental and emergency managers, both operationally and with respect to policy goals). Pollution episodes (spills, releases) are another, where threats to both humans and the environment coincide, and where both professions will meet, set immediate priorities and make decisions.

Other 'parts' of the environment such as inland waterways, remnant vegetation areas and estuaries are subject to sharp onset events—floods, cyclones, wind storms, nutrient pollution and associated algal blooms—but the emergencyecological linkages are probably less recognised. One question is: can the two sets of imperatives—human needs and natural system needs—be reconciled better *well before* emergencies or sharp onset events occur?

#### Common causes and problem types

At a deeper level ecological change, whether slow or rapid, and emergencies have similar causes. Generally they both arise from interactions between environmental variability, human behaviour and human institutions. Policy problems in sustainability such as biodiversity, climate change and land degradation exhibit features that make them different in both kind and degree from many other areas of public policy and administration. Some of these features are:

- broadened, deepened and highly variable scales of space and time;
- quite often irreversible and typically cumulative impacts;
- complexity and connectivity between problems;
- pervasive risk and uncertainty;
- problematic moral dimensions (rights of other species, future generations);
- causes embedded deeply in patterns of production and consumption, governance and human settlement;
- strong demands for community involvement;
- the novelty and unfamiliarity of policy and management problems.

One field of policy and management that does share many, if not most, of these attributes is emergency management. This suggests that some degree of twoway learning from experience should be possible, which would require institutional and practical linkages.

#### Similar policy and management trends

Given the above, there are some obvious parallels between the two fields in terms of current trends and approaches. Salter's (1998) summary of changes in emergency management could just as easily describe many recent changes in emphasis in resource and environmental management (*table 1*).

In environmental management, the increase in community-based programs, attention to causes rather than symptoms, and emphasis on whole-catchment or cross-landscape approaches are equivalent to what Salter describes. So there are types of problems shared. Also, both fields are adapting to the risk management standard AS/NZS 4360:1995 (see Salter 1998 on emergency management; and there is a Standards Australia working group compiling a handbook for applying the standard to environmental management).

Recent court cases and literature revolving around application of the 'precautionary principle' are of interest to emergency management (e.g. Dovers and Handmer 1995, Deville and Harding 1997, Gullett 1997). In both areas, the opposing notions of resilience and vulnerability are being explored, and the different interpretations of these terms within risk/ hazards and ecology need to be clarified. Both environmental management and emergency management are constantly adapting to a changed environment of public policy and administration involving market-led reform, competition policy, public sector cuts, and new performance expectations (Dovers and Gullett 1999, Kouzmin and Korac-Kakabadse 1999).

The connections between the two fields are both real and potential, and both positive (i.e. opportunities for coordination and cooperation) and perhaps negative (i.e. clashes in mission, possible institutional gaps). We believe that both fields have much to offer each other in terms of insight to improve the quality of planning and management. Moreover, emergency management agencies have a vital role to play in regard to the potential future management of ecological emergencies in Australia. The connections between the two fields need to be explored and exploited to maximise opportunities for improved management. However, this will require some potential barriers

From:	To:
Hazards	Vulnerability
Reactive	Proactive
Single agencies	Partnerships
Science driven	Multi-disciplinary
Response management	Risk management
Planning for communities	Planning with communities
Communicating to communities	Communicating with communities

Table 1: changes in emphasis in resource and environmental management

(e.g. different management styles, institutional and procedural standards, or information systems) to be addressed if connections are to be created to support improved environmental, ecological and emergency management in Australia.

#### References

Common M.S. and Norton T.W. 1992, 'Biodiversity: its conservation in Australia', *Ambio*, Vol 21, pp 258–65.

Deville A. and Harding R. 1997, *Applying the precautionary principle*, Federation Press, Sydney.

Dovers S. 1998a, 'Community involvement in environmental management: thoughts for emergency management', *Australian Journal of Emergency Management*, Vol 13(2), pp 6–11.

Dovers S. 1998b, 'Sustainability as policy problem and institutional task, and connections with emergencies and hazards', Paper presented to *Sustainability*, *Globalisation and Hazards: Enhancing Community Resilience*, 20–22 May, Middlesex University.

Dovers S. and Gullett W. 1999, 'Policy choice for sustainability: marketisation, law and institutions', in: Bosselman K. and Richardson B. (eds.) *Environmental justice and market mechanisms*, Kluwer Law International, London.

Dovers S. and Handmer J. 1995, 'Ignorance, the precautionary principle, and sustainability', *Ambio*, Vol 24, pp 92–7.

Dovers S. and Mobbs C. 1997, 'An alluring prospect? Ecology, and the requirements of adaptive management', in Klomp N. and Lunt I. (eds.) *Frontiers in Ecology*, Elsevier, London.

Dovers S. and Williams J. (in press), 'Implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity: the Australian experience', *Ambio*.

Eckersley R. (ed.) 1995, *Markets, the state and the environment*. Macmillan, Melbourne.

Frawley K. 1994, 'Evolving visions: environmental management and nature conservation in Australia', in Dovers S. (ed.) *Australian environmental history*. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

Gullett W. 1997, 'Environmental protection and the 'precautionary principle': a response to scientific uncertainty in environmental management', *Environmental and Planning Law Journal*, Vol 14, pp 52–69.

Kouzmin A. and Korac-Kakabadse N. 1999, 'From efficiency to risk sensitivity: reconstructing management capabilities after economic rationalism', *Australian Journal of Emergency Management*. Vol 14(1) pp 8–19. Salter J. 1998, 'Risk management in the emergency management context', Australian Journal of Emergency Management, Vol 12(4), pp 22–8.

State of the Environment Advisory Council, 1996, Australia: state of the environment 1996, CSIRO, Melbourne.

van Oosterzee P. 1991, The Centre: the natural history of Australia's desert regions, Reed Books, Sydney.



### Third World Conference for the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies.

The long-term outcomes of trauma in individuals and society

March 16 – 19, 2000 Carlton Crest, Melbourne, Australia

The themes of this conference will include, amongst others, understanding the context of trauma, how people heal with and without therapy, factors that increase or lessen the risk of adverse outcome, and the relationship of basic research to clinical practice.

The conference will be of benefit to psychiatrists, psychologists, counsellors, students, social workers, educators, nurses, advocates, physicians and lawyers. Delegates may come from a variety of clinical and non clinical settings including private practice, public and private health facilities, universities, social service agencies and research foundations.

#### Contact details:

The Conference Organizer PO Box 214 Brunswick East VIC 3057 Ph: 61 3 9380 1429 Fax: 61 3 9380 2722 Email: conorg@ozemail.com.au Web: www.istss.org

### **Book Reviews**

Emergency Law by Michael Eburn The Federation Press, Sydney, 1999, 204pp, A\$29.95

Reviewed by Hugh Selby Legal Workshop, Law Faculty, ANU, Hugh.Selby@anu.edu.au, ph: 02 6249 5720

Apparently there are no reported cases of anyone being sued for voluntarily providing first aid or medical services at an emergency. The law, as author Michael Eburn concludes, welcomes rescuers and wishes to protect the Good Samaritan. Nevertheless fear persists; e.g. among doctors, that if they stop at an accident and help then they will be successfully sued for their troubles. Explaining away that fear and presenting a comprehensive analysis of the law as it applies to help given in an emergency—be that first aid, medical, fire, other disaster—is the successful purpose of this book.

It's a delight to read a book which deals so clearly with important legal concepts such as consent, assault, trespass, duty of care, proximity, negligence, good faith actions, and liability exclusion clauses. The presentation is straightforward, easy to follow, and much helped by the use of examples to which readers can readily relate. Anyone working in the emergency field, be they professional or volunteer, will be able to grasp why it's safe in Australia to be a reasonable rescuer.

Of course, no one should be surprised that being a rescuer is not a ticket to be indifferent to all else. For example, the drivers of emergency vehicles take greater risks when with lights flashing and siren blaring they negotiate traffic at higher than 'normal' speed. With those higher risks comes the requirement that they exercise greater care.

Unfortunately, sometimes the rescuer becomes victim and then there is the issue of who will compensate them. The discussion of the general principles that apply to rescuer claims is very useful particularly as it is not a mainstream topic for traditional law studies. It is reassuring that a rescuer, acting under of the pressure of emergency, is unlikely to lose their claim because they took some risks as they went to the aid of another.

Michael Eburn has done rather more than just meet the needs for reassurance by those in the field. He covers both the legislation right around Australia and court decisions both here and abroad. That makes this book a useful reference for policy and lawmakers. At the least some attention needs to be given by government to such questions as: the extent to which assistance can be given to children over the parents' refusal; when a doctor is not 'at work' what, if any, are the requirements upon doctors to assist a person in trouble; what is the positive meaning (it has been defined negatively as 'without any indirect or improper motive') of the term 'good faith' and how does it affect the application of provisions in legislation which attempt to shut out a claim for damages against an emergency worker and their organisation; and, let's try and enter the next century and greet the Olympics with regulations in NSW that are not inconsistent and do not refer to an ambulance wagon (sic).

## Water in Australia: Resources and Management by David Ingle Smith

Australia is the driest inhabited continent. It also has the most variable rainfall and runoff. This poses unique problems for the management of the nation's water resources.

Water in Australia outlines the nature of the resource, past management practices, policy, and the outlook for the future. The opening chapter addresses the issue of how much water there is, and where it is located. Subsequent chapters answer the following questions: How usable is it? Does our pattern of floods and droughts mean we have too much, or too little? How did we get where we are now? How are we doing? Where are we going?

For the first time, the quantity, quality, use and policy associated with water resources in Australia are described in a single text. Over a hundred figures and diagrams elucidate the text and photographs illustrate various aspects of the history and infrastructure of water in Australia. The book is approximately 400 pages and can be ordered online via the Oxford University Press web site at: www.oup.com.au, or by mail to:

Oxford University Press GPO Box 2784Y Melbourne VIC 3001 Ph: 03 9934 9122 Fax: 03 9934 9100 Email: cs@oup.com.au

The price for this publication is currently A\$52 plus freight of \$7.50.