



DUMPING GROUND: *Cut price imports hitting local businesses*

“Where goods are dumped into our domestic market, it can hurt Australian industry, it can also reduce confidence in the whole trading system, and that is why it is important that you have a strong anti-dumping system that can make sure people play by the rules.”

The reforms received support from the opposition, with Shadow Minister for Industry Sophie Mirabella telling the House there has been significant problems in Australia’s anti-dumping system for a long time.

“It has been unworkable with excessive costs and time,” Mrs Mirabella said. “There has been difficulty for those wanting to pursue an anti-dumping application to access the system. These concerns have been voiced to us by industry for a long time.”

While supporting the legislation, Mrs Mirabella accused the government of introducing an inferior copy of Coalition policy in the area.

“We came up with a comprehensive world’s-best-practice policy back in 2011,” she said. “The government has panicked, followed suit and introduced a whole series of bills regarding anti-dumping, trying to play catch-up. We have not opposed those bills, as we will not oppose these ones.”

Major industry groups, unions and large manufacturers have welcomed the changes,

saying they will protect Australian industry and jobs from unfair overseas competition.

However some downstream manufacturers that rely on cheap imports of foreign materials to remain competitive have questioned the wisdom of the reforms.

The Australian Steel Association said a 15.4 per cent duty on hot rolled coil steel imports from several Asian countries, applied using anti-dumping provisions, would be a sustained impost on the competitiveness of Australia’s downstream steel manufacturing sectors.

But in debate on the bill, government MP Tony Zappia (Makin, SA) said dumping is destroying Australian businesses and jobs and strong action is needed now.

“Often when those jobs and industries are lost, they are lost forever,” Mr Zappia said. “Competing on a level playing field is one thing, but competing with products that are sold below cost is another.

“That is what is happening. That is why this legislation is timely and why it will be welcomed by Australian industry and Australian workers.”

The Anti-Dumping Commission will be based in Melbourne and will take over responsibility for anti-dumping disputes from July this year. •

PETROL

Low aroma fuel tackles sniffing

Voluntary scheme toughened.

Petrol station owners in certain communities will now be forced to offer low-aromatic Opal fuel as an alternative to regular fuel thanks to new laws that strengthen an anti-petrol-sniffing strategy.

Opal fuel is a low aromatic unleaded fuel that has been designed to reduce the incidence of petrol sniffing, a practice which damages internal organs, the brain and the nervous system.

The Low Aromatic Fuel Bill 2012 toughens the current voluntary scheme, which gives fuel providers the choice to sign-up to supplying Opal fuel in designated ‘anti-sniffing’ zones where communities have called for help.

Federal MP Adam Bandt (Melbourne, Vic) introduced the bill to parliament and said the use of Opal fuel has been associated with an average 70 per cent reduction in the prevalence of sniffing in Central Australia.

“The 2009 committee inquiry, which the Greens initiated, recommended that the anti-sniffing strategy could be strengthened by giving the minister the powers that are contained within this bill to mandate the use of Opal fuel in certain areas,” Mr Bandt said.

“This would give the government the power to ensure that the aspirations and the sheer hard work of communities are backed up by a process that ultimately prevents a selfish few from consistently undermining the efforts of the community as a whole.

“This bill will give the minister the power to declare areas where it will be an offence to not supply non-sniffable fuel,” he said.

The legislation passed with support from the government and crossbenchers, but was opposed by the opposition which advocated a state and territory-based approach.

Shadow Minister for Families, Housing and Human Services Kevin Andrews said states and territories can be more effective in tackling the problem.

“Government must act in order to ensure that the considerable social cost of petrol sniffing is eradicated,” Mr Andrews said.

“But the Coalition does not believe that the federal government should do this, where states and territories can be more effective.

“If we were to see state and territory based legislation that was based on the Northern Territory’s Volatile Substance Abuse Prevention Act 2005, we would have a more targeted, flexible and legally robust approach to the sniffing of fuel,” he said. •