CONFERENCES

2 October - 6 October 2002 8th International Criminal Law

Congress

Melbourne Jon Tippett Tel: 08 8981 6833

Fax: 08 8981 6837

jcat@octa4.net.au

Suzan Cox

Tel: 08 8999 3000

Fax: 08 8999 3099 suzan.cox@ntlac.nt.gov.au

10-12 October 2002

PIAA International Section

Sydney, NSW Tel: 02 9954 4400

Fax: 02 9954 0666 PIAA@dcconference**s**.com.au

17 - 18 October 2002

Detention, Decisions &

Dilemmas

Melbourne, Vic Tel: 03 9509 7121 Fax: 03 9509 7151

conorg1@optushome.com.au

20 - 22 October 2002 3rd AIJA Technology for Justice Conference

Sydney, NSW
Tel: 02 9241 1478
Fax: 02 9251 3552
techiust@icmsaust.com.au

26 October 2002

Medico-Legal Conference

Gold Coast, Qld Tel: 07 3365 1492 m.moriarty@law.uq.edu.au

26 - 31 October 2002 Youth + Family

Melbourne, Vic

Tel: 03 9417 0888

Fax: 03 9417 0899 youthandfamily@meetingplanners.com.au

27 - 31 October 2002 Union Internationale des

Avocates (UIA) 46th Congress

Sydney, NSW
Tel: 02 9241 1478
Fax: 02 9251 3552
uiasydney@icmsaust.com.au

13 - 17 April 2003 13th Commonwealth Law

Conference

Melbourne, Australia Tel: 03 9820 9115 Fax: 03 9820 3581

comlaw@mcigroup.com

29 June - 5 July 2003

Criminal Lawyers Association of the Northern Territory

9th Biennial Bali Conference Bali

> Tel: 08 8981 2549 Fax: 08 8981 2596 wildlyn@hotmail.com

1 - 5 September 2003 18th LAWASIA Biennial Conference

Tokyo, Japan Tel: 61 9 8946 9500 Fax: 61 8 8946 9505 lawasia@lawasia.asn.au

NOTICEBOARD

Federal Court Notes October 2002

Prepared for the Law Council of Australia and its constituent bodies by Thomas Hurley, Barrister, Vic., NSW, ACT (Editor, Victorian Administrative Reports)

Migration - Validity and operation of s476 of Migration Act

In NAAV v. MIMIA ([2002] FCAFC 228; 15.08.2002) a Full Court of five justices considered the validity and operation of the privative clause enacted in October 2001 in s474 of the Migration Act 1985 (Cth). All five justices concluded that s474 was not invalid for restricting access to the Courts or usurping judicial power. The Court generally observed the provision would protect a decision of the MRT which involved an error of law such as the definition of "special need relative" provided the Hickman principles were satisfied. However the Court, by majority, held that the provisions of the Act concerning cancellation of visas contained inviolable requirements which if not followed would not be protected from judicial review by s474.

Migration - Tribunal - Misapprehension of Applicant's case

In W217/01A the MIMA ([2002] FCA 892; 1.08.2002) Lee J concluded the RRT erred in concluding an Applicant had fabricated birth certificates without sighting them. He

concluded the RRT misunderstood the Applicant contended he had converted to Islam when the Applicant's case was that the authorities would regards him as such. Application allowed.

Procedure - Representative proceeding - Individual settlement offers

In Courtney v. Medtel P/L ([2002] FCA 597; 1.08.2002) Sackville J concluded the provision for representative proceedings in Part IVA of the Federal Court Act did not envisage the Court having a role in endorsing or approving any settlement offer made by a Respondent to an individual member of the representative group.

Income tax - Deduction - Compound interest in split loan

In Hart v. Commissioner of Taxation ([2002] FCAFC 222; 26.07.2002) the taxpayer financed the purchase of a new residence by a mortgage which capitalised interest on the former residence which was retained as an investment property. The primary Judge found that while the interest paid on the global mortgage was deductible the arrangement was subject to the anti - avoidance provision in Part IV A of the ITAA. This conclusion was reversed by the Full Court on appeal and the taxpayer allowed the full deduction.

continued next page