The following publications are currently available to the Law Society to be reviewed by practitioners, for publication in Balance. Should you wish to review one of these books, please contact Suzie Simmons at publicrelations@lawsocietynt.asn.au, to arrange for it to be ordered.

	AUTHOR	TITLE	EDITION
1	Austin & Ramsey	Ford's Principles of Corporations Law	15 th
2	Clarke, Stellios & Keyzer	Hank's Aust Constitutional Law	9 th
3	Croft & Hay	Mortgagee's Power of Sale	3 rd
4	Dal Pont & Mackie	Law of Succession	1 st
5	Devereux & Blake	Kenny Criminal Law in Qld & WA	8 th
6	Donaghey	Termination of Employment	2 nd
7	Edgeworth, Rossiter etal	Sackville & Neave: Australian Property Law	9 th
8	Gilders, Taylor, Walpole etal	Understanding Taxation Law	2013
9	Heydon	Cross on Evidence	9 th
10	Howie & Johnson	LNAA: Annotated Criminal Leg NSW	2012- 2013
11	Kenny	Australian Tax	2013
12	Kenny	LN Concise Tax Legislation	2013
13	Legg	Future of Dispute Resolution	1 st
14	LexisNexis	Intellectual Property Collection	2013
15	LexisNexis	Annotated Federal Court Legislation & Rules	1 st
16	Мо	International Commercial Law	5 th
17	Rowland & Bailey	Testamentary Discretionary Trust: Precedents & Commentary	1 st
18	Shanahan, Ryan Rafter Costanzo and Hoare	Carter's Criminal Law of Queensland	19
19	Stickley	Australian Torts Law	3 rd
20	Taylor & Juchau	Financial Planning in Australia	5 th
21	Zimmermann	Western Legal Theory	1st

EMAIL SCAMS STILL DOING THE ROUNDS

elow is another example of the type of email scams that are doing the rounds. You can report scams to ACCC via the website: scamwatch.gov.au. You can also report email scams to the Australian Communications and Media Authority (for email scams) or the police in the event of fraud theft or other crimes. Contact your bank if you suspect transactions. We welcome reports here at the Society and this is a timely reminder to be vigilant.

Good Day Solicitor,

My name is Michael Formenius and I am in need of your legal expertise. I loaned \$495,000 to Paul Beckman, the money which was to be paid back in 2 years but he has not done so yet, please I will need your help to file a law suit against him to recover the money am owed and I truly hope you can assist me with this. Sincerely

Michael Formenius mformeniuslive@gmail.com +852 8191 5874

MISSING WILL

ANTHONY PATRICK **HOUSTON**

Would any person or firm holding or knowing of the whereabouts of any original Will of ANTHONY PATRICK HOUSTON, late of 20 Holland Street, Gladstone, QLD, 4680, who died in Gladstone on 8 January 2013, please contact Diane Wright of D.M. Wright & Associates Solicitors, PO Box 8047. Woolloongabba, QLD, 4101. Phone: (07) 3844 9999, Fax: (07) 3844 8288 within 30 days of this notice.

Family Law Case Notes July 2012 - March 2013

Robert Glade-Wright Author and Editor The Family Law Book



CHILDREN

Indefinite supervision of time set aside

In Slater & Light [2013] FamCAFC 4 (5 February 2013) the Full Court (May, Strickland and Forrest JJ) allowed the father's appeal against Coates FM's order for indefinite supervision of his time with his children, an order made without hearing "expert evidence about the time and the circumstances in which [he] should spend [unsupervised] time with [them]" (para 71).

CHILDREN

- Overseas surrogacy
- Step-parent adoption by same sex partner of sperm provider

In Blake & Anor [2013] FCWA 1 (10 January 2013) Charles Blake applied for step-parent adoption of twins as de facto partner of James Marston, the father of the children. They were born by a surrogacy procedure in India in which an anonymous donor's eggs fertilised with Marston's sperm were implanted in a surrogate who gave birth to and then relinquished all rights in respect of the children. Before Crisford J was the question as to whether Marston could be considered a "parent" for the purpose of the Adoption Act 1994 (WA) having regard to the circumstances of their conception and birth. After examining case law and legislation Crisford J held that Marston was a parent of the children.

COSTS

Wife awarded costs due to

her pre-action offer to settle

In Firmer & Britton [2012] FamCA 576 (23 July 2012) Monteith J made an order for costs against the husband who had rejected the wife's pre-action offer to settle for less than she was ultimately awarded at the final hearing.

Editor's note - In Bronson (No. 2) [2012] FamCA 676 (13 August 2012) the husband was awarded 80 per cent of his costs as he had opposed the wife's maintenance application.

CHILDREN

Interim order for wife to install an alcohol monitoring system

In Sebastian (No. 3) [2012] FamCA 707 (13 August 2012) Young J made an order due to the wife's prior alcohol abuse and the need to secure the children's safety (para 10) requiring the wife to install an alcohol monitoring system in her home and undertake a breath test before driving the children.

PROPERTY

- "De facto relationship"
- Separate homes
- **Prior inconsistent** representation

In Kazama & Britton [2013] FamCA 4 (15 January 2013) Watts J declared the existence of a de facto relationship where the parties maintained separate residences but spent significant time together at the respondent's home. Watts J also had regard to a prior inconsistent representation made by the respondent to the Department of Immigration in a document "Sponsorship for a partner to migrate to Australia" that the parties were in a de facto relationship.

PROPERTY

- Competing valuations
- Party's "unusual" business

In Gelledge [2012] FamCA 641 (3 August 2012) Stevenson J resolved competing valuations of a 64 room health care facility catering to a niche market by choosing the one that did not require "the husband's business model [to] be altered so as to require clients to pay accommodation bonds" (para 38). Stevenson J agreed with his counsel that the business should be valued "as it is, where it is" (para 44).

CHILDREN

No jurisdiction to allow access to deceased's frozen sperm

In Vallance & Marco [2012] FamCA 653 (8 August 2012) Watts J held that the court had no jurisdiction to grant Ms Vallance ownership of the frozen semen of the late Mr J with whom she had had a relationship for two months, adding that a remedy may exist under State law by action taken by the executor of the deceased's estate.

PROPERTY

- Valuation of plant and equipment
- "Highest and best use"

In Martin & Crawley [2012] FamCA 1032 (10 December 2012) Coleman J determined a conflict between conflicting valuations of

plant and equipment used in a road freight business by preferring (to a valuation based on an ad hoc sale) a valuation based on "market value for continuing use" which applied (paras 64 and 78) the concept of "value to the party". The "highest and best use" of the assets was held to be as used in the current business (so that signwriting on vehicles would be an advantage not a disadvantage).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No confidential information divulged when solicitor had acted for both parties

In House & Altimas [2012] FamCA 625 (3 August 2012) Ryan J held that there was no conflict of interest in the husband's solicitor acting for the husband, in that when acting for both parties 14 years previously in matters arising from a road accident the applicant was found to have "communicated [no] confidential (qua the wife) material to the respondent's solicitor" (para 18).

EVIDENCE

Transcript of taped phone conversation inadmissible

In Badger & Ors [2013] FMCAfam 124 (14 February 2013) Myers FM held (para 59) that the transcript of a telephone conversation which had been taped without the caller's knowledge or consent was inadmissible under 138 of the Evidence Act 1995 as "the desirability of admitting the evidence [did] not in the mind of the court outweigh the undesirability of admitting [it]".

PROPERTY

- Reconciliation
- Implied consent to a fresh property order

In Saito [2013] FMCAfam 112 (8 February 2013) Burchardt FM applied established authority upon holding that the court did have jurisdiction to make a fresh property order where parties had reconciled after the first order had been made.

PROPERTY

Whether "still appropriate" to make property order after death of party

In Erdem & Ozsoy [2012] FMCAfam 1323 (5 December 2012) Walters FM considered s 79(8) FLA, examining case law including Stanford [2012] HCA 52 which was said (para 131) to provide no "guidance as to the application of the 'just and equitable' test" where a party had died. Walters FM proceeded at paras 132-133 to set out "principles [that] apply or must be borne in mind when considering the provisions of s 79(8)".

CHILDREN

- "Very different parenting styles"
- Sole parental responsibility

In Luu & Xia [2013] FMCAfam 35 (25 January 2013) Sexton FM made an order for the mother to have sole parental responsibility where it was found (para 60) that the parties had "very different parenting styles" and that the father held "rigid views".

CHILDREN

- Indigenous issues
- Appointment of family consultant

In Cerny & Fink (No. 2) [2012] FMCAfam 1394 (20 December 2012), a case concerning a child of Indigenous and non-Indigenous background, Monahan FM sought a report from a family consultant "experienced in addressing Indigenous cultural issues".

PROPERTY

- Contributions assessment is not "an audit of earnings"
- No loading for higher income
- Costs awarded due to early settlement offer

In Petruski & Balewa [2013] FamCAFC 15 (20 February 2013) the wife (a 54 year old Australian property developer) met on the internet and three years later entered into a five year marriage with the husband, a 36 year old African with a diploma, no assets and limited work prospects. The wife's initial contributions were mortgaged property, an income of \$280,000 pa and her funding of the husband's re-training expenses. The husband ran the household for a year until finding paid work and at trial earned \$70,000 pa. The wife added him as a beneficiary of her family trust, making distributions to him and to herself. They borrowed to buy land, build a house and buy other properties. The Full Court (Bryant CJ, Strickland and Moncrieff JJ) dismissed the wife's appeal against the order of Jordan AJ (FCWA) that she transfer to the husband her interest in the house and pay him \$54,000 (his contributions being assessed at 22.5 per cent, adjusted upwards by 2.5 per cent for disparity and longer life expectancy). The wife argued that due to her superior financial contributions the husband should receive 7.5 per cent of the \$2.39m asset pool - at trial "recalibrated down" to 3.36 per cent by reference to income from all sources (para 20). The Full Court said at paras 21-22:

> "His Honour was of the view that counsel for the wife['s] ... 'returns effort' ... approach treated marriage as 'an event without consequence, to be wound up at its conclusion by a distribution based on an audit of earnings'. (...) The trial judge found the husband had made several significant indirect financial contributions ... the parties had merged their finances in a joint account ... were jointly responsible for [a] mortgage ... the husband['s] distributions were utilised joint purposes ... [their] finances and efforts had been combined and both ... enjoyed tax advantages by splitting the distributions also ... whilst the husband was making his

contributions the wife was making contributions to her superannuation fund. Further, the [husband ran] the household during his period of retraining."

The Full Court continued at para

"His Honour [upheld by the Full Court] rejected the proposition that the wife should be entitled to any extra loading simply because of her higher earnings during the marriage, and instead found there was 'a merging of effort, finance, risk and support ... The trial judge also noted that the ... increase in value of the properties was parties' through 'no particular ongoing effort of either of [them]', and that since separation the wife had ... sole use and benefit of the bulk of the property."

The Court also upheld Jordan AJ's order that the wife pay the husband's costs having regard to s 117(2A)(f) and his offer to settle at "a very early stage in the proceedings" for less than was determined by the court.

PROPERTY

- No accrued jurisdiction to consolidate damages claim against lawyers with FLA proceedings
- Need for factual basis of damages claim to arise out of same facts as in family law case

In Noll and Anor [2013] FamCAFC 24 (28 February 2013) the Full Court (Bryant CJ, Finn and Strickland JJ) dismissed the husband's

appeal against Le Poer Trench J's dismissal of his application for the court to exercise accrued jurisdiction to determine his crossclaim for damages against the wife's solicitors when determining the wife's application for an order setting aside a financial agreement. The Full Court described the background of the case at paras 4-5; the case for each party at paras 14-17 and case law as to the exercise of accrued jurisdiction (e.g. Re Wakim; Ex parte McNally (1999) 198 CLR 511) at paras 36-53. The Full Court distinguished Ruane & Bachman-Ruane & Ors [2012] FamCA 369 (where a negligence claim against solicitors was permitted to be joined with property proceedings), saying that a financial agreement "had already been declared to be non-binding" in that case and that "whether or not accrued jurisdiction is attracted in a particular case will very much depend on the facts of that case".

PROPERTY

Informal agreement to keep finances separate

In Sabri & Abidin [2013] FMCAfam 192 (12 March 2013) Altobelli FM found that as the parties had failed to adhere to their informal oral agreement to keep their finances separate during the marriage the agreement did not bind the court.

PROPERTY

- Wife's injury-related pension
- Separate pools approach

In Crawford [2012] FMCAfam 1315 (4 December 2012) the parties were together for 20 years. They had three children and net assets of \$2.2m, including the wife's \$987,000 pension in the payment phase received by her since retiring from the police service three years before separation due to an injury while on duty. Taking a separate pools approach and following other decisions in which the same assessment had been made as to an injury-related pension, Altobelli FM assessed the husband's contribution to the wife's pension at 15 per cent (awarding 18 per cent, being the percentage proposed by the wife).

CHILDREN

Primary school preferred to home-schooling

Bates & Churchill [2012] In FMCAfam 1495 (20 December 2012) Terry FM determined a conflict as to whether a five year old child should be home-schooled by the mother or attend a primary school by preferring the latter, saying at paras 50 and 52 that home-schooling "would become ... very much a mother-and-daughter world – no peers, or very few peers" and that "the father's capacity to be involved in her education [would] be almost non-existent".

PROCEDURE

Objection to subpoena for production (on ground documents were "private", irrelevant and speculative) dismissed

In Bennet & Carter [2013] FMCAfam 149 (30 January 2013) an objection to production of immigration records on the ground that the documents were "private ... not relevant ... and ... speculative" was dismissed by Harman FM who said (para 34) that the documents were "not private [but] public records accessible by a variety of government agencies [and that] once proceedings [were] on foot between parties ... there is little, if anything, that, subject to the requirement of relevance as defined by section 55 of the Evidence Act, would be private as between the parents".

