

legal fact patterns and the process of legal reasoning is largely an acquired skill. Student use of the structure improved slightly over the semester, consistent with their increased exposure to it. Use of the structure did not increase pure marks, although there was a string of correlations between the 'good' use of the structure and good marks. *'Legal reasoning is not some mystical talent given to the fortunate and favoured. It is a skill to be taught as part of a structured and incremental curriculum...'*

#### **Acquiring basic legal skills and knowledge: what and where?**

J de Groot

12 *J Prof L Educ* 1, 1994 pp 1-16

It is generally accepted that one of the goals of legal education is to produce competent lawyers. Data were gathered from the leaders of the Queensland legal profession in private practice about what they consider best describe the characteristics of a competent lawyer. Those participating in the study were asked to select from 65 characteristics broadly classified under the headings 'knowledge', 'skills', 'values' and 'other attributes/abilities', ten which they considered to be the most important for a lawyer to possess. They were asked to grade each of the ten characteristics selected on a scale of 1 to 3 with 1 = 'important', 2 = 'very important' and 3 = 'vital'. A knowledge of substantive law ranked first, with 48% of participants grading it as vital. This was followed by professional attitude to the practice of law (37.9%) and the ability to identify legal issues raised by a fact situation (22.7%).

Zemans and Rosenblum conducted a similar study in 1975/6, obtaining responses from a random sample of 548 Chicago lawyers. In that study participants were asked the relative importance of 21 skills and areas of knowledge. Of the 21 skills and areas of knowledge from the Zemans and Rosenblum study, the top 10 are listed for comparison purposes.

Only two characteristics are common to the top 10 of both studies. 'Substantive knowledge', which ranked first in the Queensland study ranked sixth in the Chicago study, while the 'ability to identify legal issues raised by a fact situation' was ranked third in the Queensland study and second in the Chicago study.

When the characteristics as a whole are considered, it is clear that there were clusters which were indicative of the same dimension of professional conduct. Eight core characteristics were established by the author which the survey indicated described a competent lawyer: knowledge of legal practice and procedure; knowledge of substantive law; attention to professional housekeeping; enthusiasm for dedication to the law; client oriented; fact gathering/analysis ability; orientation to practical solutions to clients' problems; and proficiency in the professional/ethical dimensions of legal practice.

The questions then arise as to where such skills and knowledge are acquired, where should they be acquired and, if it is through a course of practical legal training (LPC), where should such a course be located. Perceptions of students who took articles of clerkship (AC

and those who completed the LPC at the Queensland University of Technology showed that most of the core characteristics were acquired through experience for AC students and through experience and the LPC for LPC students. The exception was that substantive knowledge was largely acquired from the LLB course for both AC and LPC students.

The compartmentalisation of legal education into academic and skills learning as proffered by the Ormrod Committee is in question. Many law schools are integrating professional skills into their degree courses. The physical location of LPC is in issue. Should it be located within the universities, so as to make use of resources such as libraries, staff, and the interdisciplinary environment of a campus, or should it be separate, so as to signify to students that they are moving to the professional arena? The arguments are reviewed by the author, who observes that no one view can be said to have prevailed.

#### **Law schools and the construction of competence**

B G Garth and J Martin

43 *J Legal Ed* 4, Dec 1993, pp 469-509

The article reviews the results of many surveys carried out by the authors to investigate the assertion that legal education and legal practice occupy different worlds. Young graduate lawyers in Chicago found communication skills to be the most important lawyerly skill, followed by instilling confidence in others, legal analysis and reasoning, drafting of documents-solving and knowledge of substantive law. The skills that were essentially learned